

**FAX TRANSMISSION****DATE:** April 18, 2006**PTO IDENTIFIER:** Application Number 10/055968-Conf. #8431  
Patent Number**Inventor:** Diana L. Kosinski et al.**MESSAGE TO:** US Patent and Trademark Office**FAX NUMBER:** (571) 273-2885**FROM:** WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP

Irah H. Donner

**PHONE:** (212) 230-8800**Attorney Dkt. #:** 0103864.00129US1**PAGES (Including Cover Sheet):** 6**CONTENTS:** Part B. Issue Fee Transmittal(1 page)  
Response to Examiner's Reasons for Allowance (3 pages)  
Certificate of Transmission (1 page)

If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by collect call to sender at (212) 230-8800 and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address below.

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited.

**WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP**  
399 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10022  
**Telephone:** (212) 230-8800 **Facsimile:** (212) 230-8888

PTO/SB/97 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.


Application No. (if known): 10/055968

Attorney Docket No.: 0103864.00129US1

**Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

on April 14, 2006  
Date



Signature

Trennie Snyder

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Registration Number, if applicable

(212) 230-8800

Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Fax cover sheet (1 page)

Part B. Issue Fee Transmittal (1 page)

Response to Examiner's Reasons for Allowance (3 pages)

Docket No.: 103864.129 US1

PATENT/OFFICIAL

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:  
Diana L. KOSINSKI et al.

Serial No.: 10/055,968

Group Art Unit: 3626

Filed: January 28, 2002

Examiner: Carolyn M. Bleck

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR PROCESSING PRESCRIPTION REQUESTS  
USING A REMOTELY LOCATED PRESCRIPTION PROCESSING SYSTEM

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Honorable Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant substantially agrees with the Examiner's reasons for allowance in the Office Action, subject to the comments herein. Applicant would like to emphasize, and assumes that the Examiner intended to so state, that the combination of elements in each of the allowed claims, independent and dependent, are patentably distinguishable over the prior art when each claim is interpreted as a whole.

Applicant provides no opinion with respect to interpreting the references cited by the Examiner, and therefore, does not concede to the Examiner's interpretation of same, as permitted under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.104(e), particularly since the Examiner does not respond to an Applicant's Response to Reasons for Allowance. Applicant would like to clarify that the only interpretation that Applicant will accept or agrees with is the interpretation that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand from the prior art references.

Applicant strongly emphasizes that one reviewing the prosecution history should not interpret any of the examples Applicant has described herein in connection with distinguishing

Docket No.: 103864.129 US1

PATENT/OFFICIAL

over the prior art as limiting to those specific features in isolation. Rather, Applicant asserts that it is the combination of elements recited in each of the claims, when each claim is interpreted as a whole, which is patentable. Applicant has emphasized certain features in the claims as clearly not present in the cited references, as discussed above. However, Applicant does not concede that other features in the claims are found in the prior art. Rather, for the sake of simplicity, Applicant is providing examples of why the claims described above are distinguishable over the cited prior art.

Applicant wishes to clarify for the record, if necessary, that the claims have been amended to expedite prosecution. Moreover, Applicant reserves the right to pursue the original subject matter recited in the present claims in a continuation application.

Further, Applicant hereby retracts any arguments and/or statements made during prosecution that were rejected by the Examiner during prosecution and/or that were unnecessary to obtain allowance, and only maintains the arguments that persuaded the Examiner with respect to the allowability of the patent claims, as one of ordinary skill would understand from a review of the prosecution history. That is, Applicant specifically retracts statements that one of ordinary skill would recognize from reading the file history were not necessary, not used and/or were rejected by the Examiner in allowing the patent application.

Any narrowing amendments made to the claims in the present Amendment are not to be construed as a surrender of any subject matter between the original claims and the present claims; rather merely Applicant's best attempt at providing one or more definitions of what the Applicant believes to be suitable patent protection. In addition, the present claims provide the intended scope of protection that Applicant is seeking for this application. Therefore, no

Docket No.: 103864.129 US1


PATENT/OFFICIAL

estoppel should be presumed, and Applicant's claims are intended to include a scope of protection under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

Any claim cancellations have been done without prejudice or disclaimer, and Applicant does not disclaim any subject matter in any of the embodiments of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP

  
Ira H. Donner  
Registration No. 35,120

399 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
TEL 212-230-8887  
FAX 212-230-8888

Date:

4/17/06